

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/677,480 10/03/2003		Mark G. Gilbreth	2066.0010009	4605	
23456	7590	01/30/2006		EXAM	INER
WADDEY &	& PATTI	ERSON	PATEL, RAJNIKANT B		
1600 DIVISION STREET, SUITE 500 NASHVILLE, TN 37203				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2838	TALER NOMBER

DATE MAILED: 01/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/677,480	GILBRETH ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Rajnikant B. Patel	2838			
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply w Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re nication. utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON rill, by statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>03 October 2003</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	·	•			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	e withdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		-			
Applicant may not request that any object					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t		•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority d 2. Certified copies of the priority d 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action	locuments have been received. locuments have been received in Apply of the priority documents have been all Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT 		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
 Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/04. 		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Art Unit: 2838

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting.

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claim 1 is rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4 of U.S. Patent No. 6487096. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both sets of claims claiming same subject matters a power controller for supplying a distributed generation power system by controlling the way each energy component sinks or sources power.

Application/Control Number: 10/677,480 Page 3

Art Unit: 2838

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) The invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) The invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Carr et al. (U.S. Patent # 5,430,362).

Carr et al. disclose the claimed the claimed subject matters (figure 2B), including a DC bus (figure 2B, item 92), a plurality of converters (figure 2B, item 50 and 94) and controlling the way energy component (figure 2B, item 40).

Application/Control Number: 10/677,480 Page 4

Art Unit: 2838

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rajnikant B. Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-2082. The examiner can normally be reached on 6.30-5.00; m-f.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Karl Easthom can be reached on 571-272-1989. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rajnikant B Patel
Primary Examiner
